

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/574,795	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Eugene Lieberstein {Reg. No. 24,645}.

**Status of Application:** 71

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 August 2008

**Time:** 10:56am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicant's representative to suggest changes to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance. However, no agreement could be reached.*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)